

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/774,990	01/30/2001	Anna Pia Slothower	PALM-3559.US.P	4362
. 75	90 05/19/2003			
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP Third Floor Two North Market Street			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, JENNIFER T	
San Jose, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674 DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	a

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ammiliant	A 12					
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/774,990	SLOTHOWER	T AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jennifer T Nguyen	2674	<u></u>				
The MAILING DATE of this c Period for Reply	ommunication ap	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	iddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of fif the period for reply specified above, is less the fino period for reply is specified above, the mailing the period for reply is specified above, the mailing the period for reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 Status	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1. this communication. an thirty (30) days, a rep aximum statutory period d for reply will, by statute months after the mailin	136(a). In no event, howeve ly within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered tim ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communicati	on(s) filed on <u>30</u>	<u>January 2001</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-fina	ıl.					
3) Since this application is in c closed in accordance with the Disposition of Claims			nal matters, prosecution as to 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is				
	in the application	n						
• • • •	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected	_							
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte								
8) Claim(s) are subject to		or election requireme	ent.					
Application Papers		·						
9)☐ The specification is objected t	o by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.					
		- , ,	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a	•				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correct				iner.				
If approved, corrected drawing	•		n.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is obje	-	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1	120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of	_	n priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ No □								
1. Certified copies of the	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	e International Bu	ureau (PCT Rule 17		al Stage				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for domest	tic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	al application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the for15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO		5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application (Fither:					

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to amendment filed on 02/13/2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over the prior art Fig. 1, cited by Applicant.

Regarding claim 1, the prior art Fig. 1 teaches an integrated enclosure/touch screen assembly comprising: a display mechanism (140); a digitizer mechanism comprising a top film (120) and a resistive digitizing element (130), and a single piece cover enclosure (110) for said touch screen assembly disposed over said top film (120) of said digitizer mechanism to allow mechanical transfer between said single piece cover (110) and said digitizer mechanism, wherein said resistive digitizing element (130) can be activated by mechanical pressure applied to the external surface of said single piece cover enclosure (110) (Fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/774,990

Art Unit: 2674

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art Fig. 1, cited by Applicant, in view of Donohue et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,262,717).

Regarding claims 2, 3, and 17, the prior art Fig. 1 differs from claim 2 in that it does not specifically teach a single piece cover enclosure is constructed using in mold decoration.

However, referring to Fig. 2, Donohue teaches single piece cover enclosure is constructed using in mold decoration (col. 8, lines 12-53, col. 14, lines 34-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the single piece cover enclosure is constructed using in mold decoration in order to provide a easy way of manufacture and a higher apparent brightness display.

Regarding claims 4 and 11, the combination of the prior art and Donohue teaches finger pressure on the external surface of said single piece cover enclosure can be used to activate said digitizer mechanism (col. 8 of Donohue, lines 12-38).

Regarding claims 5 and 12, the combination of the prior art and Donohue teaches wherein stylus pressure on the external surface of said single piece cover enclosure may be used to activate said digitizer mechanism (Fig. 8 of Donohue, col.11, lines 45-47).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Donohue teaches wherein said single piece cover comprises a mylar polycarbonate material (col. 7 of Donohue, lines 35-40).

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 20, the prior art Fig. 1 further teaches the soft thermoplastic film has sufficient deflection under external pressure to active said digitizer mechanism.

Application/Control Number: 09/774,990

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claims 8 and 15, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Donohue teaches the single piece cover enclosure for said display mechanism and said digitizer mechanism is constructed with a flat outer top surface free of any indentation (Fig. 2 of Donohue).

Regarding claims 9 and 16, the prior art Fig. 1 teaches an integral enclosure/touch screen assembly comprising: a display mechanism (140); a digitizer mechanism comprising a top film (120) and digitizing element (130); a single piece cover enclosure (110); and a supporting structure (105) for supporting said display mechanism (140), said digitizer mechanism and said single piece cover enclosure, wherein said digitizing element and said single piece cover enclosure form a single mechanism structure and wherein said resistive digitizing element (130) can be activated by mechanical pressure applied to the external surface of said single piece cover enclosure (110) (Fig. 1).

The prior art Fig. 1 differs from claim 9 and 16 in that it does not specifically teach the single piece cover enclosure is bezel-less. However, Donohue teach single piece cover enclosure is bezel-less (Fig. 2, col. 8, lines 12-53, col. 14, lines 34-40, and see abstract section). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the single piece cover is bezel-less as taught by Donohue in the system of the prior art Fig. 1 in order to provide a waterproof and dust free environment for the touch screen and reduce to thickness to the display components.

Regarding claims 10 and 19, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Donohue teaches said single piece cover enclosure is a soft thermoplastic outer film that is coupled to said top film of said digitizer mechanism. the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Donohue differs from claims 10 and 19 in that it does not specifically teach the single piece cover enclosure is coupled to supporting structure. However, it would have been obvious to obtain the single piece cover enclosure is coupled to supporting structure in order to provide a waterproof and dust free environment for the touch screen.

Application/Control Number: 09/774,990

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claims 13 and 18, the prior art Fig. 1 further teaches the digitizing element of said digitizer mechanism is a resistive type digitizing element.

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jennifer T. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-3225**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard A Hjerpe** can be reach at **703-305-4709**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to: 703-872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

Application/Control Number: 09/774,990

Art Unit: 2674

Page 6

should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Jennifer T. Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2674

> RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN'TR TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600